1	
2	
3	
	PROGRAMMATIC DEIS SEISMIC SURVEYS
4	IN THE BEAUFORT AND CHUKCHI SEAS
	PUBLIC HEARING/MEETING
5	
6	Anchorage, Alaska
7	Taken April 10, 2007
	Commencing at 7:07 p.m.
8	
	Volume I - Pages 1 - 10, inclusive
9	
10	
11	Taken at
	Department of the Interior
12	Minerals Management Service
	3801 Centerpoint Drive
13	Anchorage, Alaska
14	
15	ALASKA STENOTYPE REPORTERS
16	511 WEST NINTH AVENUE
17	ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99501
18	PHONE: (907) 276-1680 FAX: (907) 276-8016
19	EMAIL: aksteno@acsalaska.net
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
	Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
23	
24	
25	

1	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
2	Rance Wall
	Regional Supervisor for Resource Evaluation
3	Minerals Management Service
4	Ken Hollingshead
	Fisheries Biologist
5	National Marine Fisheries Service
6	
7	Taken by:
8	Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
9	
LO	BE IT KNOWN that the aforementioned proceedings were taken
L1	at the time and place duly noted on the title page, before
L2	Mary A. Vavrik, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
L3	Public within and for the State of Alaska.
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
-1 -	

- 2 MR. WALL: Okay. I guess we will go ahead
- and try to get this started. This is a public hearing.
- 4 We are going to try to start the public hearing here.
- 5 This is for the draft programmatic EIS for seismic surveys
- 6 for the Chukchi and the Beaufort Seas. These projects are
- 7 being done jointly with National Marine Fisheries. Ken
- 8 Hollingshead is here representing them. My name is Rance
- 9 Hall, and I'm with the Minerals Management Service. And
- 10 we have Mary Vavrik here that's a court reporter that will
- 11 take any kind of testimony or anything.
- 12 Testimony here will be taken, and then you can also
- 13 send in written comments. And those will be accepted
- 14 through May the 14th, up to May the 14th. So if you have
- 15 anything that you want to send in and you don't want to
- 16 say it tonight, you can still send it in via the mail.
- 17 So the purpose of this would be for us to hear what
- 18 the public has to say about the draft EIS that we sent out
- 19 earlier. And so with that, I'm not aware that anybody
- specifically signed up to testify. Is there anybody that
- 21 wants to do that that's here that we have missed that has
- 22 an interest in it?
- MS. CAREN RAE: I don't want to testify,
- 24 but I have a question. Is it your plan to kind of go over
- 25 the highlights of the draft EIS here tonight? Is that

- 1 going to happen?
- MR. WALL: Not really, no. I mean, it's,
- 3 yeah, mainly just to get input from the public.
- 4 MS. CAREN RAE: Okay.
- 5 MR. WALL: Now, with that being said, if
- 6 we want to -- if no one right now wants to do testimony --
- 7 if someone does come in and they want to, we can go into a
- 8 formal -- we could go into an informal and off-the-record
- 9 situation and talk.
- 10 MS. CAREN RAE: Oh, okay. I have just
- 11 been to other things like this with other agencies where
- 12 they have started out with kind of an overview of what was
- in the document and then took comments, so that was the
- 14 basis for my question.
- 15 MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: In most cases, what we
- 16 normally see is that they have a public meeting beforehand
- 17 where they have charts and stuff and people are there to
- 18 discuss the subject matter. Experts are there to discuss
- 19 those parts that they were -- that they had their hand in
- 20 writing or understood or the timetables, et cetera. But
- 21 then during the public hearing session there was not that
- 22 dialogue that went on. The only questions and answers
- that were normally taken were technical ones like what
- 24 your timetable might be, et cetera. But we don't get into
- 25 the actual aspects of what's in the EIS because that leads

- 1 to secondary questions, and then we are into discussion on
- 2 the -- on the merits of the EIS, et cetera.
- 3 So right now this is mostly as an input to us so that
- 4 we can get the comments for future work and writing the
- 5 final environmental impact statement.
- 6 MS. MARILYN CROCKETT: I did have a
- 7 question with regard to timetable. Did you say that you
- 8 can walk us through what the timetable is during this
- 9 public hearing process?
- 10 MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: Yes, we can. From
- 11 memory, the comment period ends on May 14th. We then
- write a final environmental impact statement. We have to
- 13 respond to the comments and responses. That period can
- 14 take an indeterminate amount of time, depending on the
- 15 detail, level of detail, and number of different kinds of
- 16 comments that do come in. The final environmental impact
- 17 statement then goes through a clearance process. That
- 18 clearance process can take 30 to 45 days because it goes
- 19 to two agencies for clearance. It's then noted by -- when
- 20 it clears, it goes to the Environmental Protection Agency
- 21 which puts a notice in the Federal Register. There is
- then a 30-day cooling-off period before the action is
- 23 effective and a record of decision is complete.
- MR. JOHN DAVIS: So if we comment here, do
- 25 these -- you will respond back to our comments, either

- 1 with the written or verbal here; is that what I
- 2 understand? You said you have to respond to the comments.
- 3 MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: The comments that we
- 4 receive either written or verbally here will be responded
- 5 in the final environmental impact statement, that's
- 6 correct.
- 7 MS. BETH IPSEN: So, then, do you have an
- 8 estimate? Do you have like a target date at least for
- 9 when the final EIS will be finalized, or is it up in the
- 10 air?
- 11 MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: We would like to have
- it out as quickly as we can. It's totally unknown until
- 13 we see the comments that come in and the level of -- level
- of detail that we have on the comments.
- 15 MR. WALL: What we thought we would do is
- 16 we would go off the record now, and if people want to just
- 17 talk, that's fine. If people do want to go on the record,
- 18 keep in mind that nothing that we talk about informally
- 19 here will be part of the record or be part of -- included
- 20 in the final EIS.
- 21 MS. BETH IPSEN: I've got a question.
- Have there been public meetings, public hearings scheduled
- 23 regarding this?
- 24 MR. WALL: They are scheduled starting
- 25 next week in the Beaufort and the next week in the

- 1 Chukchi.
- 2 MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: We have a list of those
- 3 that's now been published, I think.
- 4 MR. WALL: And written -- the dates and
- 5 everything are in your paper, too.
- 6 MS. BETH IPSEN: That's what this was,
- 7 too.
- 8 MS. MARILYN CROCKETT: The record of
- 9 comments that will be submitted during this comment
- 10 period, will that be publicly available? Will you have a
- 11 website or something that you can go and download the
- 12 comments that are submitted?
- MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: There is -- it is --
- 14 the documents that are received are all considered public.
- 15 There is no redacted -- as far as I know, there is no
- 16 redacted information. Milo might jump in here.
- 17 Occasionally some people decide that names and addresses
- 18 for privacy act information can be redacted, but the
- 19 comments themselves are all public, so they are made
- 20 available. At times when we find there is a great deal of
- interest, they can be posted on a web page if we get a
- 22 request. The normal procedure that -- in my case what we
- 23 have is a -- we make a pdf out of an Adobe Acrobat
- document, and we will make that available if we don't post
- it on the website. The actual responses to the comments

- 1 would not appear -- would not be available until they are
- 2 published in the final environmental impact statement.
- 3 MS. BETH IPSEN: I think I want to clarify
- 4 my question that when you said the public hearing, is
- 5 there a way to explain what's -- what is in that document,
- 6 you know, that thick document? There is nothing -- no
- 7 kind of meetings to explain before this comment process?
- 8 MR. WALL: No, no.
- 9 MS. BETH IPSEN: And how can people get
- 10 ahold of that?
- 11 MR. WALL: We sent it to a pretty
- 12 extensive mailing list all over the North Slope and with
- 13 the normal people that get every other document that MMS
- 14 sends, and NMFS had a mailing list of their own that they
- 15 sent it to.
- 16 MS. JILL LEWANDOWSKI: It's also listed on
- our website, the MMS website.
- 18 MR. WALL: Yeah, it's on the website, too.
- 19 That's right.
- 20 MR. JOHN DAVIS: I was reading in there
- 21 where this year you are proposing in one or more of the
- 22 alternatives that the -- there be blackout periods in
- certain areas of the Beaufort Sea, some certain dates
- 24 between Cross Island and Kaktovik, Kaktovik and Canada.
- 25 That wasn't in there last year, was it?

1	MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: We are not going to get
2	into that, but you are correct. It was not. We are not
3	here to get into a discussion and debate on what's in the
4	environmental impact statement.
5	MR. JOHN DAVIS: I was wondering if it was
6	there.
7	MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: If you compare the
8	programmatic environmental assessment, which is the
9	previous document on this action, that was not in there.
10	MR. JOHN DAVIS: Okay.
11	MR. HOLLINGSHEAD: It sounds to me like
12	there is more of the questions are more of what we
13	would have informally than discussion of back and forth,
14	and if there is no objections, we would like to close the
15	record until we do get somebody who wants to testify.
16	MS. JOLIE HARRISON: I thought Rance
17	already did that.
18	MR. WALL: No. She's still taking
19	testimony because people keep asking questions before we
20	can get any kind of signal that there was agreement. No
21	objections? Then we are off the record now.
22	(Off the record.)
23	(Proceedings adjourned at 8:30 p.m.)
24	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in and for
3	the State of Alaska do hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
5	me at the time and place herein set forth; that the
6	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later
7	transcribed under my direction by computer transcription;
8	that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings
9	taken at that time; and that I am not a party to nor have
0	I any interest in the outcome of the action herein
L1	contained.
L2	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
.3	my hand and affixed my seal this day of
L4	2007.
L 5	
-6	
	MARY A. VAVRIK,
.7	Registered Merit Reporter
	Notary Public for Alaska
.8	
.9	My Commission Expires: November 5, 2008
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	